

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

GREG ADKISSON, et al., Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:13-CV-505-TAV-HBG
)	
)	<i>Lead Case Consolidated with</i>
KEVIN THOMPSON, et al., Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:13-CV-666-TAV-HBG
)	
)	<i>as consolidated with</i>
JOE CUNNINGHAM, et al., Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:14-CV-20-TAV-HBG
)	
BILL ROSE, Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:15-CV-17-TAV-HBG
)	
CRAIG WILKINSON, et al., Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:15-CV-274-TAV-HBG
)	
ANGIE SHELTON, as wife and next of kin on behalf of Mike Shelton, et al., Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC., Defendant.)	No.: 3:15-CV-420-TAV-HBG
)	

JOHNNY CHURCH,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:15-CV-460-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
DONALD R. VANGUILDER, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:15-CV-462-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
JUDY IVENS, as sister and next of kin,)	
on behalf of JEAN NANCE, deceased,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-635-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	
PAUL RANDY FARROW,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No.: 3:16-CV-636-TAV-HBG
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.,)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and Standing Order 13-02.

Now before the Court is the Motion to Quash [Doc. 645], filed by non-party Tom D. Kilgore (“Kilgore”) on October 21, 2020. Kilgore seeks to quash the deposition subpoena issued to and served on him by Plaintiff’s counsel, stating that subjecting him to a deposition on irrelevant issues relating to Phase II upon which he has limited knowledge would be unduly burdensome. Additionally, Kilgore includes an affidavit wherein he states that he recently suffered a stroke on January 29, 2019, which has impacted his memory. [Doc. 646].

Plaintiffs subsequently filed a Motion to Withdraw Subpoena of Tom D. Kilgore [Doc. 678], as well as a Notice [Doc. 679] that they do not oppose the Motion to Quash [Doc. 645]. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Motion to Withdraw the Subpoena [**Doc. 678**] is **GRANTED**, and the Subpoena of Tom D. Kilgore is **WITHDRAWN**.¹ Therefore, Kilgore's Motion to Quash [**Doc. 645**] is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:


United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court notes that the executed subpoena of Kilgore was not filed by Plaintiffs before the Court. *See* [Doc. 647-1].